# Kinetic and hyperbolic equations analysis, modeling and numerics

Alain Blaustein, with Francis Filbet

December 9, 2022

Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse

# **Motivations**

We consider electrons subjected to:

(a) electric field  $E = -\partial_x \phi$ , (b) collisions with motionless ions.

The system is described by the following equation

**Vlasov-Fokker-Planck equation** 

$$\partial_t f^{\epsilon} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} v \,\partial_x f^{\epsilon} - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \,\partial_x \phi \,\partial_v f^{\epsilon} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \,\partial_v \left[ v \,f^{\epsilon} + \partial_v f^{\epsilon} \right]. \tag{1}$$

 $f^{\epsilon}(t, x, v)$  is the probability of finding the electron at time  $t \ge 0$ , position  $x \in \mathbb{T}$ , with velocity  $v \in \mathbb{R}$ . For some parameter  $\epsilon > 0$ .

## hydrodynamic regime

We consider the hydrodynamic scaling  $\epsilon \rightarrow$  0 in the equation

$$\partial_t f^{\epsilon} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} v \,\partial_x f^{\epsilon} - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \partial_x \phi \,\partial_v f^{\epsilon} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \partial_v \left[ v f^{\epsilon} + \partial_v f^{\epsilon} \right].$$

Considering the leading order, we expect

$$\begin{aligned} f^{\epsilon}(t,x,v) & \underset{\epsilon \to 0}{\sim} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{\epsilon}(t,x,v) \, \mathrm{d}v \, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \, \exp\left(-\frac{|v|^2}{2}\right) \, := \, \rho^{\epsilon}(t,x) \, \mathcal{M}(v) \, . \\ \underbrace{\text{limit of } \rho^{\epsilon}:}_{\text{consider } \pi^{\epsilon}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{\epsilon}(t,x-\epsilon v,v) \, \mathrm{d}v \, , \, \text{which solves} \\ \partial_t \, \pi^{\epsilon} \, - \, \partial_x \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x \phi \, f^{\epsilon} \left(t,x-\epsilon \, v,v\right) \, \mathrm{d}v \, + \, \partial_x \, \pi^{\epsilon}\right) \, = \, 0 \, . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we expect  $f^{\epsilon}(t,x,v) \underset{\epsilon \to 0}{\sim} \rho(t,x) \mathcal{M}(v)$ , with

#### Macroscopic equation

$$\partial_t \rho - \partial_x \left[ \partial_x \phi \rho + \partial_x \rho \right] = 0,$$

3/14

whose stationary state is  $\rho_{\infty}(x) = c \exp{(-\phi(x))}$ .

3

The situation is as follows



<u>**GOAL**</u>: Build a numerical method and prove quantitative asymptotic preserving properties for the limits  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  and  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  simultaneously

4

# Numerical analysis of the model

### From key estimate to functional space

#### Dissipation of the $L^2$ -norm

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int \left| \frac{f^{\epsilon}}{\sqrt{\rho}_{\infty} \mathcal{M}} - \sqrt{\rho}_{\infty} \right|^{2} \mathrm{d}x \mathcal{M} \mathrm{d}v = -\frac{2}{\epsilon^{2}} \int \left| \partial_{\nu} \left( \frac{f^{\epsilon}}{\sqrt{\rho}_{\infty} \mathcal{M}} \right) \right|^{2} \mathrm{d}x \mathcal{M} \mathrm{d}v$$

 $\rightarrow$  Functional space :

$$\frac{f^{\epsilon}}{\sqrt{\rho}_{\infty}\mathcal{M}} \in L^{2}\left(\mathrm{d} x \,\mathcal{M}(v) \,\mathrm{d} v\right) \,.$$

• Spectral decomp. in Hermite basis  $(H_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  of  $L^2(\mathcal{M} dv)$ 

$$\frac{f^{\epsilon}}{\sqrt{\rho}_{\infty}\mathcal{M}}(t,x,v) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} D_k(t,x) H_k(v).$$

• No weight with respect to dx so

$$D_k \in L^2(\mathrm{d} x)$$
.

#### **Reformulated equations**

**Reformulated Vlasov-Fokker-Planck equation** 

$$\partial_t D_k^{\epsilon} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \sqrt{k} \mathcal{A} D_{k-1}^{\epsilon} - \sqrt{k+1} \mathcal{A}^{\star} D_{k+1}^{\epsilon} \right) = -\frac{k}{\epsilon^2} D_k^{\epsilon}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

with  $\mathcal{A}u = \partial_x u + \frac{\partial_x \phi}{2} u$  and  $\langle \mathcal{A}u, v \rangle_{L^2} = \langle u, \mathcal{A}^* v \rangle_{L^2}$ . Equilibrium is  $D_{\infty,0} = \sqrt{\rho}_{\infty}$ , and  $D_{\infty,k} = 0$  if  $k \ge 1$ ,

macroscopic equation reads

$$\partial_t \overline{D}_0 \,+\, \mathcal{A}^\star \mathcal{A}\, \overline{D}_0 \,=\, 0\,, \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{D}_k \,=\, 0 \ \text{if} \ k \geq 1\,,$$

and the  $L^2$  estimate rewrites

Dissipation of the L<sup>2</sup>-norm in Hermite basis

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left\| D^{\epsilon} - D_{\infty} \right\|_{L^2}^2 = -\frac{2}{\epsilon^2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*} k \left\| D_k^{\epsilon} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \,.$$

## Fully discrete scheme

#### Reformulated Vlasov-Fokker-Planck equation

$$\frac{D_k^{n+1} - D_k^n}{\Delta t} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \sqrt{k} \,\mathcal{A}_h \,D_{k-1}^{n+1} - \sqrt{k+1} \,\mathcal{A}_h^{\star} \,D_{k+1}^{n+1} \right) = -\frac{k}{\epsilon^2} \,D_k^{n+1} \,,$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  where discrete operators  $\mathcal{A}_h$  and  $\mathcal{A}_h^{\star}$  verify

$\langle \mathcal{A}_h u, v \rangle_{L^2} = \langle u, \mathcal{A}_h^* v \rangle_{L^2}$	preservation of the key estimate
${\cal A}_h \sqrt{ ho}_\infty  =  0$	preservation of equilibrium state
$\sum_{j} \Delta x_{j} (\mathcal{A}_{h}^{\star} u)_{j} \sqrt{\rho}_{\infty, j} = 0$	conservation of mass

for all  $(u_j)_{j \in \mathcal{J}}$ ,  $(v_j)_{j \in \mathcal{J}}$ 

#### Result

Setting  $D_{\perp,0}^n = 0$  and  $D_{\perp,k}^n = D_k^n$  if  $k \ge 1$ , we prove

Theorem (with F. Filbet, 22')

There exist  $\tilde{\kappa} > \kappa > 0$  independent of the discretization such that

$$\|D^n_{\perp}\| \leq \left\|D^0_{\perp}\right\| \left(1+rac{\Delta t}{2\,\epsilon^2}
ight)^{-rac{n}{2}} + \epsilon C \left\|D^0-D_{\infty}
ight\| \left(1+\kappa\,\Delta t
ight)^{-rac{n}{2}},$$

and

$$\left|D_0^n - \overline{D}_0^n\right| \leq C\left(\left\|D_0^0 - \overline{D}_0^0\right\| + \epsilon \left\|D^0 - D_\infty\right\|\right) (1 + \kappa \Delta t)^{-\frac{n}{2}},$$

and

$$\left\|\overline{D}^n - D_{\infty}\right\| \leq \left\|\overline{D}^0 - D_{\infty}\right\| \left(1 + \tilde{\kappa} \Delta t\right)^{-\frac{n}{2}},$$

for all  $n \ge 0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ .

## Key arguments of the proof: convergence in $L^2$

We go back to the  $L^2$  estimate

$$\frac{\left\|D^{n+1} - D_{\infty}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} - \left\|D^{n} - D_{\infty}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}}{\Delta t} \leq -\frac{2}{\epsilon^{2}} \left\|D_{\perp}^{n+1}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2},$$

 $\bigtriangleup$  Lack of coercivity w.r.t.  $D_0 \rightarrow$  introduce the elliptic problem

$$\begin{cases} \left(\mathcal{A}_{h}^{\star}\mathcal{A}_{h}\right)u_{h}^{n} = D_{0}^{n} - D_{\infty,0} \\ \sum_{j\in\mathcal{J}}\Delta x_{j} u_{j} \sqrt{\rho}_{\infty,j} = 0, \end{cases}$$

and define a modified entropy functional

$$\mathcal{H}_0^n = \|D^n - D_\infty\|_{L^2}^2 + \alpha_0 \epsilon \langle D_1^n, \mathcal{A}_h u_h^n \rangle .$$

Relying on Poincaré inequality  $\|A_h u_h^n\|_{L^2} \leq C \|D_0^n - D_{\infty,0}\|_{L^2}$ , we prove

$$\begin{cases} \|D^{n} - D_{\infty}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \lesssim \mathcal{H}_{0}^{n} \lesssim \|D^{n} - D_{\infty}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}, \\ \frac{\mathcal{H}_{0}^{n+1} - \mathcal{H}_{0}^{n}}{\Delta t} \lesssim -\frac{2}{\epsilon^{2}} (1 - \alpha_{0}) \|D_{\perp}^{n+1}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} - \alpha_{0} \|D_{0}^{n+1} - D_{\infty,0}^{n+1}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}. \end{cases}$$

## Key arguments of the proof: convergence in $H^1$

Introduce the following  $H^1$  norm

$$\|\mathcal{B}_h D^n\|_{L^2}^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|\mathcal{B}_{h,k} D_k^n\|_{L^2}^2, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{B}_{h,k} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{A}_h, \text{ if } k = 0, \\ \mathcal{A}_h^\star, \text{ else.} \end{cases}$$

For this special choice of  $\mathcal{B}_h$ , it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|\mathcal{B}_h D^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\mathcal{B}_h D^n\|_{L^2}^2}{\Delta t} &= -\frac{2}{\epsilon^2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*} k \left\|\mathcal{B}_{h,k} D_k^{n+1}\right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &- \frac{2}{\epsilon} \sum_{k \ge 2} \sqrt{k} \left\langle \left[\mathcal{A}_h^*, \mathcal{A}_h\right] D_{k-1}^{n+1}, \, \mathcal{A}_h^* D_k^{n+1} \right\rangle \,. \end{aligned}$$

We use that  $\| [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{\star}] D_{k-1}^{n+1} \|_{L^2} \le \| \phi \| \| D_{k-1}^{n+1} \|_{L^2}$  and Young inequality

$$\frac{\|\mathcal{B}_h D^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\mathcal{B}_h D^n\|_{L^2}^2}{\Delta t} \leq -\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left\|\mathcal{B}_h D_{\perp}^{n+1}\right\|_{L^2}^2 + C \left\|D_{\perp}^{n+1}\right\|_{L^2}^2 \,.$$

 $\cancel{!}$  Lack of coercivity w.r.t.  $\mathit{D}_0 
ightarrow$  we conclude as in the former step

# Numerical experiments

We take  $\Delta t = 10^{-3}$ , 200 Hermite modes, 64 points in space and

$$\phi(x) = 0.1 \cos(2\pi x) + 0.9 \cos(4\pi x) .$$

<u>Test 1:</u>  $\epsilon = 1$  and

$$f_0(x,v) = (1+0.5\cos(2\pi x)) \exp(-|v|^2/2) / \sqrt{2\pi}$$

<u>Test 2</u>:  $\epsilon = 10^{-4}$  and

 $f_0(x, v) = (1 + 0.5 \cos(2\pi x)) \exp(-|v - 1|^2/2) / \sqrt{2\pi}$ .

#### First Test, $\epsilon = 1$

Time evolution in log-scale of  $\|f^{\epsilon} - f_{\infty}\|_{L^{2}(f_{\infty}^{-1})}$  (blue),  $\|f^{\epsilon} - \rho^{\epsilon}\mathcal{M}\|_{L^{2}(f_{\infty}^{-1})}$  (red),  $\|\rho^{\epsilon} - \rho_{\infty}\|_{L^{2}}(\rho_{\infty}^{-1})$  (pink)



12/14

#### Second Test: $\epsilon = 10^{-4}$

Time evolution in log scale of  $\|f^{\epsilon} - \rho^{\epsilon} \mathcal{M}\|_{L^{2}(f_{\infty}^{-1})}$  (red),  $\|\rho^{\epsilon} - \rho_{\infty}\|_{L^{2}(\rho_{\infty}^{-1})}$  (pink),  $\|\rho^{\epsilon} - \rho\|_{L^{2}(\rho_{\infty}^{-1})}$  (blue points) and  $\|\rho - \rho_{\infty}\|_{L^{2}(\rho_{\infty}^{-1})}$  (black)



13/14

- Analysis of the scheme with a Poisson non-linear coupling in perturbative setting and dimension 2;
- Spectral analysis of the model to quantitatively describe oscillations;
- $\bullet$  analysis with a Poisson non-linear coupling in non-perturbative setting  $\rightarrow$  requires a better understanding of the model at the continuous level;